

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,952	11/15/2001	Nobuyuki Takamori	70801-56710	5464	
21874 EDWARDS A	7590 06/05/200 NGELL PALMER & D	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 558'		ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J			
BOSTON, MA	. 02205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1756			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	06/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
10/002,952					
			·	EXAMINER	
		*	ART UNIT	PAPER	
				20070601	

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The PTO-90C mailed 2/21/07 is vacated, including the comments and this. The IDS of 11/15/01 did not include a PTO-1449 or any references, The subequent offfice action (10/3/03) noted this and the applicant made a resubmission of the IDS documents with the response of 02/05/2004. The applicant's reply brief of 01/16/07 has been received and entered into the record. Efforts have been made to expunge these comments from the record.

Martin J Angebranndt Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 1756

Angebrann	dt, Martin						
From: Sent: To: Subject:		Nolan, Patrick Friday, June 01, 2 Angebranndt, Mar RE: remand					
Fine, thanks							
From: Sent: To: Subject: Patrick	Angebranndt, Friday, June 0 Nolan, Patrick RE: remand	1, 2007 10:57 AM					
how does this	look ?						
references, The	subequent offf 05/2004. The a	is vacated, including ice action (10/3/03) applicant's reply brie om the record.	noted this and th	e applicant made	e a resubmission of t	he IDS document	ts with the
Original From: Sent: To: Subject:	Message Nolan, Patrick Friday, June 0 Angebranndt, RE: remand	1, 2007 6:33 AM					
BPAI are p the Reply l Appellant s arguments mailed 2-2 or just an a	oretty strict on Brief, unless t still gets two r s, which is not 7-07 and rem acknowledger	e-mail. My apologie what cannot be w the Examiner gets months to respond appropriate for a u nail either a supple ment of the reply b re the case is revie	ritten in a Reply authorization to . So in this case reply brief noted metal Examinel rief of 1-16-07.	r Brief Noted. In write a suppler e, your additiona I. Please mail a 's answer with y Lastly, please in	n essence the Appermental Examiner's all comments are con PTOI-90 vacating your points and a conclude your explan	ellant gets the la answer and even onsidered additi the Reply Brief Group Director's	est word in en then ional noted s signature
I hope this	helps.						
Patrick							

thanks,

martin